

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/674,289		10/30/2000	Tapani Vuorinen	LAIN-033	6903	
20374	7590	06/04/2003				
		BOVCIK	EXAMINER			
SUITE 710 900 17TH	STREET N			ALVO, MARC S		
WASHING	TON, DC	20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1731		
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Δ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/674,289	VUORINEN	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	/_
	Steve Alvo	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on th c ver sheet with	n the correspond nce addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	96(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this common NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	<u> 1arch 2003</u>		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I Disp sition of Claims 			merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 is/are withdrawn for	rom consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 22-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		, ,	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		sapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 55 C.C.C. 3	115(a)-(a) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	4	plication No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	· ——	age
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		~ 9
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language profile 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). formal Patent Application (PTO-	

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10, 12-14, 16-20 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A (Applicant's translation is being relied upon).

Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A teaches a method of producing a modified fiber by adding to cellulosic raw material (pulp fibers or natural fibers, see translation, page 5) components modifying the properties (wet-dispersability and strength) by adding components modifying the fiber properties (carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) bonding agent, see page 4 of the translation) and sodium carbonate to convert the insoluble carboxymethyl cellulose top water-soluble carboxymethyl cellulose (page 4) by increasing the pH, and then letting the suspension mixture of fibers, carboxymethyl cellulose and sodium carbonate stand still before forming the suspension into paper (page 6). The carboxymethyl cellulose bonds to the fibers as it is still present in the sheets after formation, e.g. not washed out during papermaking, see translation, last paragraph of page 4. The pH of Example 1-3 of Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A

would be alkaline. Besides, the claims are not limited to using alkaline conditions, only being "water-soluble in mainly alkaline conditions". The modified fibers of Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A would be "water-soluble in mainly alkaline conditions", see Japanese Patent Application 9-132896, translation, page 6, lines 2-4. If necessary it would have been obvious that the sodium carbonate raises the pH to alkaline as the CMC water mixture is 6.1 to which up to 400 weight % of sodium carbonate is added to the CMC/pulp mixture (TABLE 1, Example 1-3). Obviously adding 400 wt. % sodium carbonate would raise the pH from 6.1 to above 7.0 and at such alkaline pH's the fibers are described as highly water soluble (page 6, lines 2-4). Obviously the CMC of Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A would be bonded to the raw material as it is disclosed by Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A as being a bonding agent.

Page 3

Claims 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A with or without JP 001,897/98 or JP 291,490/97A.

CMC having the polymerization levels of claims 9 and 21 are commonly used in the paper industry. If this is not obvious then such is taught by JP 001,897/98 or JP 291,490/97A.

Claims 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A with or without BATES (6,165,320) or RHA (5,354,424).

CMC belongs to the same family of cellulose esters as HPMC, HEMC and HBMC and would be obvious variants of each other. It would have been obvious to use the obvious variants HPMC, HEMC and HBMC for the CMC of Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A. If this is not obvious, then BATES or RHA teaches the alternativeness of using hydroxy and carboxy celluloses. It would have been obvious to use a hydroxyl-cellulose for the carboxy-cellulose of

Art Unit: 1731

Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A as their alternative use in paper applications is taught by BATES or RHA, see RHA column 7, line 57 and claim 17, for HPMC.

Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of HASSI.

HASSI teaches that sizing agents such as CMC could be added during an alkaline peroxide bleach stage. It would have been obvious to add the modifying agents (bonding agents) of Japanese Patent Application 9-132896 A during a peroxide bleach stage as such is taught by HASSI.

Claims 1-20 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term ""water-soluble in mainly alkaline conditions" is indefinite. Are the fibers soluble in all alkaline pH's? What is meant by "mainly alkaline conditions?"

The restriction requirement of Paper No. 8 is made Final and is repeated below:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-20 and 22-26, drawn to a process of producing a modified fiber.
- II. Claim 21, drawn to a fiber product.

Art Unit: 1731

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Claim 21 is either obvious over or anticipated by BATES. Accordingly, the special feature linking the two inventions, a fiber containing CMC, does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore, restriction is appropriate.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Applicant's arguments are moot due to the new rejections.

When filing an "Official" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file. The "Official" FAX phone numbers for this TC 1700 are:

Non-Final Fax: (703) 872-9310 After-Final FAX: (703) 872-9311.

When filing an "Unofficial" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Unofficial" for Draft Documents and other Communications with the PTO that are not

Art Unit: 1731

for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers. The "Unofficial" FAX phone number for this Art Unit (1731) is (703) 305-7115.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the primary examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2048. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:00 AM - 2:30 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 703-308-3837.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Group receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-0661**.

MSA 5/30/2003

STEVE ALVO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1731